## Exhibit 14

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF ROANOKE

SHARON G. WINGATE, Executor of the Estate of DOUGLAS GRAY WINGATE, Deceased,

Plaintiff

-vs-

INSIGHT HEATH CORP., et al.,

Defendants :

JULY 9, 2013 3:00 P.M.

HEARD BEFORE: THE HONORABLE CHARLES N. DORSEY

CENTRAL VIRGINIA REPORTERS PO BOX 12628 ROANOKE, VIRGINIA (540)380-5017

The following cause came on to be heard on July 9, 2013, before the Honorable Charles N. Dorsey, Judge of the Circuit Court of the City of Roanoke, sitting at Roanoke, Virginia, when the following proceedings

THE COURT: We have Wingate versus Insight, CL12254771 through 76, 13, 9 and 54, 57, and the Clerk has been kind enough to bring me a whole rack of files, which I haven't brought in here. I did bring one hoping it would be a sample of what's involved. I have the oath of the court reporter that's been entered. As to all Is the plaintiff ready?

MR. BYRD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The defendant

3

4

ready?

MR. SHAW: Yes, we are, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Gentlemen, I apologize —
due to some other matters going on, including
the fact that we continue to be short of judges, not because of so much of the

2 APPEARANCES: GENTRY, LOCKE, RAKES & MOORE Roanoke, Virginia By: BENJAMIN D. BYRD, ESQ. J. SCOTT SEXTON, ESQ. Counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff BONNER KIERNAN TREBACH & CROCIATA Washington, DC By: CLINTON R. SHAW, JR., ESQ. CHRISTOPHER HASSELL, ESQ. Counsel on behalf of Insight Heath Corp. LECLAIR RYAN Roanoke, Virginia By: NANCY F. REYNOLDS, ESQ. Counsel on behalf of Dr. Mathis, Dr. O'Brien and Image Guided Pain Management \* \* \* \* \* EXHIBITS NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE (None)

reasons -- I have not reviewed what we are doing. So what is it we are doing? MR. BYRD: Your Honor, we are here on two matters. Well, technically, three. One is the motion for partial summary judgment as it relates to the affability of the Medical Malpractice Act to the defendant Insight Health Care. THE COURT: I thought that was already conceded or entered, an order on that? MR. BYRD: There was no order entered because of the removal that took place the night before the hearing on that. The related matter to that --THE COURT: But there was an order entered, wasn't there, Mr. Sexton, on that? MR. SEXTON: Your Honor, what happened, as you recall --THE COURT: I don't recall. That's why I am asking. MR. SEXTON: Let me refresh you. The day we were last before you, there were 11 motions for summary judgment set. The

legislature now but because of health

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

	5	1	THE COURT WILL		
1	defendant removed the Wingate matter to	1	THE COURT: Well, you can understand		
2	federal court the evening before, and so when	2	from my standpoint how that would really seen		
3	we showed up the Wingate matter was not	3	like we are not making any progress at all.		
4	technically before Your Honor, but the other	4	It seems like we are going backwards if we		
5	10 were. And on those 10 you have entered	5	are going to revisit everything.		
6	orders.		MR. SHAW: I don't know that we have to		
7	So we took a little detour in this		revisit everything.		
8	through federal court with Judge Wilson, and	8	THE COURT: I thought you said you		
9	now we are back here.	9	wanted to revisit.		
10	THE COURT: He sent them all back?	10	MR. SHAW: See, we have been involved		
11	MR. SEXTON: He sent everything back,	11	in discovery, so we have been moving forward		
12	yeah. I think all 19 of ours went over there	12	Depositions have taken place of a number of		
13	at one point, and then they came back.	13	Insight witnesses. And in so doing, various		
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Shaw, is this	14	witnesses have testified from Insight,		
15	any different than the ones we have already	15	radiology technologists, that they do have		
16	done?	16	very specialized skills that fall within that		
17	MR. SHAW: No, it's not different in	17	rubric of being a healthcare provider.		
18	any way except that it wasn't entered and	18	When we signed onto this, Your Honor,		
19	hasn't been.	19	when we got this case back in early January,		
20	THE COURT: Anybody have any objection	20	the Court needs to know that we were retained		
21	to getting that done?	21	by an insurance company. Insight Health Corp		
22	MR. SHAW: We are objecting to it,	22	wasn't our longstanding client, and we have		
23			been in the we have been in the throws of		
24	this motion for partial summary judgment and	24	learning about their history, getting		
-	6				
1	motion for leave to amend our answers.	1	acquainted with them and learning about their		
2	THE COURT: I thought you had already	2	business. And also during the course of		
3	conceded on the Record before that you are	3	discovery and the testimony of Doctors Mathi		
4	not a healthcare provider.	4	and O'Brien, we have learned more about the		
5	MR. SHAW: Well, we were talking about	5	roles of the various people that work at		
6	that, and when we said that we answered	6	Insight.		
7	the request for admissions that we were not a	7	When we answered, Your Honor, the		
8	healthcare provider, but we since in	8	request for admissions, we looked to what		
9	discovery have learned that we	9	little discovery we had. But more		
10	THE COURT: That you are.	10	importantly what we had was the managemen		
11	MR. SHAW: That we are. You know, we	11	services agreement, which is the contract		
12	are	12	between Insight Health Corp and IGPM, the		
13	THE COURT: So you are in a sort of	13	corporate name for Doctors Mathis and		
14	weird position to have one set of cases where	14	O'Brien. And we		
15	you have been an order has been entered	15	THE COURT: Let me interrupt you for a		
16	that you have been not found to be a	16	second. That's one issue. But I understood,		
	healthcare provider, but you are going to	17	Mr. Byrd, there were two issues?		
17			•		
18	contend in these that you are.	18	MR. BYRD: Right, Your Honor. It's		
19	MR. SHAW: Yes, and we are going to ask	19	actually three. Their motion to amend the		
20	the Court to revisit the order and allow us	20	request for admission, which a notice of		
21	to vacate those orders and allow the issue to	21	hearing was filed		
22	be decided as to whether or not we are a	22	THE COURT: Which is still going back		
23	healthcare provider on the merits of that	23	to the same issue about the healthcare		
24	issue as opposed to	24	provider.		

	9		1	
1	MR. BYRD: Correct, Your Honor. And	1	that this person didn't live up to their	
2	then the other was a pretrial just a Rule	2	duties.	
3	4:13 conference just to let you know what all	3	THE COURT: You have got all that in	
4	had happened since the cases have come back	4	your motion.	
5	and what all we needed to get the cases	5	MR. SHAW: Yes.	
6	moving.	6	THE COURT: Okay.	
7	THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry,	7	MR. SHAW: And there are two motions.	
8	Mr. Shaw, but now let me just ask you the	8 There is one there is one motion, You		
9	same thing. Are those three things, from	9	Honor	
10	your perspective, why we are here?	10	THE COURT: To amend admissions and fo	
11	MR. SHAW: Yes.	11	reconsideration of partial summary judgment.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. Now go ahead with	12	MR. SHAW: Right, exactly.	
13	what you have got.	13	THE COURT: Those are the two motions.	
14	MR. SHAW: We are not asking the Court	14	MR. SHAW: And those are for the ten	
15	to determine today whether Insight Corp is or	15	cases where the summary judgment has been	
16	is not covered by the malpractice cap.	16	entered.	
17	THE COURT: What are you asking?	17	MR. BYRD: Well, Your Honor, I hate to	
18	MR. SHAW: We are just asking that this	18	interrupt, but I did not receive any notices	
19	issue be dealt with at a later time. During	19	of hearing for those ten cases.	
20	the course of discovery, various information	20	THE COURT: Don't worry about it.	
		21	MR. BYRD: Okay.	
21	is going to come forward that it's either	22	THE COURT: We will sort all that out.	
22	going to show that we are a healthcare	23		
23 24	provider or we are not. We contend that there is plenty of evidence now that we are a	24	I am just trying to figure out what the parameters are right now.	
	10	1	MR. SHAW: Your Honor, the bottom line	
1	healthcare provider under the definition as is described in the act. And that's what	2	is when we answered this the case was in a	
2	that's what my the pleadings are that I	3	different place, and we were looking at a	
3			-	
4	have submitted to the Court, but I would be	4	management services agreement	
5	happy to discuss them.	5	THE COURT: It was on its way to federal court.	
6	The other thing is that plaintiff's	6		
7	counsel in this case is changing its gears a	7	MR. SHAW: We were trying to get int	
8	little, too. In the course of discovery they	8	federal court. We were trying to find a way	
9	have learned that there was a thing called a	9	to bring NECC, the maker of the tainted	
10	microbiology report and that some of them	10	steroid, into the case. We were getting,	
11	were missing, and that various employees of	11	basically, a new case every day. We have	
12	Insight, radiology techs, were looking for	12	since been given six more cases have been	
13	those reports, but in the course of getting	13	filed where we have answered this particular	
14	those reports had not had not notified the	14	question the other way, that we are in fact a	
15	doctors about this and they were looking for	15	healthcare provider, where in fact before we	
16	them. And now there is a there is sort of	16	admitted that we were not a healthcare	
17	a negligence spin. They are putting an	17	provider.	
18	emphasis on this inaction by the radiology	18	So now we are in a situation where	
19	tech and not saying there was a missing	19	there is some instances where we are and some	
20	report and saying that, basically, our	20	instances where we are not. And it's very	
21	licensed technologist made a big mistake.	21	early on in the case law I mean, the Court	
22	And under those circumstances, we would say,	22	has discretion, clearly. In some of the	
23	yeah, we need coverage under the malpractice	23	cases that we have submitted to the Court,	
24	act because they are going to be alleging	24	this has been permitted, this amendment has	

	13		15
1	been permitted, within three months of trial.	1	order.
2	We are over nine months away from trial and	2	THE COURT: Yeah, we are going to do
3	discovery has not gone that far. We would	3	all that separate.
4	submit that there has been no prejudice. And	4	MR. SHAW: That would be great.
5	the burden of having to address this issue	5	THE COURT: I want to get this one
6	does not amount to prejudice. Inconvenience	6	finished first. Then Mr. Byrd, on this
7	is not a prejudice, and that's taken right	7	and Mr. Sexton, you were lead counsel last
8	out of the case law that we have submitted to	8	time, and I am assuming by seating and by
9	the Court.	9	you don't usually give up the roster to
10	THE COURT: But you don't want me to do	10	anyone easily, and since you have been doing
11	anything today. You just want me to read it	11	it, I assume this is with your blessing.
12	and decide it later.	12	MR. SEXTON: It's out of desperation.
13	MR. SHAW: That would be fine, Your	13	The trial that just got canceled that you are
14	Honor.	14	probably aware of has had me otherwise
15	THE COURT: I'm just asking what you	15	occupied.
16	want.	16	
	MR. SHAW: Give us the chance to		THE COURT: It didn't get canceled,
17		17	Mr. Sexton. It just got set over.
18	give us the leave to amend our answers to	18	MR. SEXTON: Can we negotiate about
19	request for admissions so that they can be consistent with the last six that we have	19	that? I am open to making deals at this
20		20	point.
21	answered. And, you know, Your Honor, under	21	THE COURT: Mr. Byrd?
22	the circumstances	22	MR. BYRD: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I have to tell you, I have	23	THE COURT: Do you have any and I
24	never had that request made, but I like it,	24	understand I don't mean to make light of
	14		16
1	and I certainly appreciate the candor and	1	it. I am not disparaging the numbers in the
2	give and compliment the candor. When is	2	notice, but, really, at this juncture if I am
3	the trial date set?	3	going to do it I am going to do it for
4	MR. SHAW: In late April of next year.	4	everybody in every case, and if I am not
5	THE COURT: Okay.	5	going to do it I am not going to do it for
6	MR. SHAW: And Your Honor, I'd also	6	any cases. I mean, the notice, vis-a-vis
7	like to introduce you to Chris Hassell. He	7	notices, seems to me doesn't really matter.
8	is the partner lead counsel in the case.	8	MR. BYRD: I understand, Your Honor. I
9	THE COURT: All right.	9	just wanted to I only prepared for the
10	MR. SHAW: I inadvertently did not do	10	Wingate matter, specifically.
11	that.	11	THE COURT: The issue is the same
12	THE COURT: That's all right. I will	12	regardless, isn't it?
13	meet him and I apologize since I met	13	MR. BYRD: They are. However,
14	you and I knew Ms. Reynolds down there. He	14	procedurally as far as when things were filed
15	is in good company, so I figured he must be	15	and when they were sent to Mr. Hassell is a
16	all right, too.	16	little bit different that I hadn't looked at.
17	So in terms of those issues, is that	17	THE COURT: And that if that becomes
18	all you have today?	18	necessary, then I agree with you and I will
19	MR. SHAW: Yes.	19	take a look at it. But, you know, it seems
20	THE COURT: And you said that with a	20	to me that it's seems to me that it's
21	good deal of hesitation, so do you got	21	probably a larger issue than one that needs
22	something else?	22	to get dealt with on a purely procedural
23	MR. SHAW: Well, we were hopefully	23	basis. But maybe not, so
24	going to get hope to get a scheduling	24	MR. BYRD: Sure.

	17		1		
1	1 THE COURT: Have you stated everything		national provider of diagnostic imaging		
2	that you want in terms of authority and	2	services, which squarely would put Insight on		
3	writing that you want to say?	3	notice that an allegation had been made		
4	MR. BYRD: No, Your Honor, I have not.	4	pertaining to the practice of medicine.		
5	We didn't file a reply to their motion	5	Paragraph 22, Insight advertised to the		
6	because it was going to be before you anyway		public that it offered the highest quality of		
7			care through a network of outpatient imaging		
8			centers. Paragraph 24 mentions the provision		
9	MR. BYRD: If I may, Your Honor, I	9	of pain management image guided therapeutics.		
10	think it's important to go back through a	10	Paragraph 28 mentions that Doctor Mathis, one		
11	procedural history of the case so that all of	11	of Ms. Reynolds' clients, had allegedly been		
12	this and what's been discussed is in the	12	designated by Insight as a medical director.		
13	proper context. So if I am longwinded, I	13	There are also allegations as to the		
14	apologize in advance.	14	employment relationship between Insight		
15	THE COURT: You-all got it set for	15	Health and Ms. Reynolds' clients, two of		
16	hearing. Ms. Butenschoen is taking it down,	16	Ms. Reynolds' clients, Doctors Mathis and		
17	so it's all fine.	17	O'Brien.		
18	MR. BYRD: As you know, Your Honor,	18	Paragraph 45, among other things,		
19	Mr. Wingate received an ESI at Insight up on	19	mentions that Insight Health acted through		
20	Franklin Road on September 6 last year and	20	secretaries, nurses, technicians, staff and		
21	died 12 days later. Ms. Wingate then	21	personnel. And the technologists are the		
22	qualified as executor of Mr. Wingate's estate		type of people that we are referring to there		
	and filed suit in this Court on December 27	22 23	that were mentioned by Insight in its		
		24	argument.		
	18		2		
1	e-mailed the complaint in that case to	1	Now, of interest, Paragraph 54 mentions		
2	Mr. Hassell.	2	that Insight had a duty to act as reasonable		
3	And the reason I bring this up is	3	and prudent healthcare providers, and it goes		
4	because the complaint contains five counts,	4	on from there. There is allegations about		
5	as well as a number of pertinent allegations	5	informed consent and the duty to provide		
6	regarding the provision of healthcare	6	reasonable care to Mr. Wingate.		
7	services that would put Insight on notice	7	Now, from that, that would begin		
8	that allegations had been made, that they	8	research in looking into whether Insight		
9	would be a healthcare provider, and that they	9	Health Corp qualifies as healthcare provider,		
.0	would need to look into that issue more	10	is or is not a healthcare provider.		
1	fully, especially when they respond to the	11	Now, shortly after the complaint was		
2	complaint, which has not been done as of yet	12	filed and then served upon Insight Health		
3	because of the pending demurrer that's before	13	Corp, Mr. Sexton received a phone call from		
4	the Court.	14	counsel for Insight Health Corp in which it		
5	The counts are negligence per se,	15	was stated that Insight Health Corp was not a		
6	violation of Virginia Consumer Protection	16	healthcare provider and that we should make		
7	Act, negligence gross, negligence in fraud.	17	some changes to our Ms. Wingate should		
8	Then there are contained within the complaint	18	make changes to her complaint as a result of		
9	a number of allegations, including a	19	that.		
20	certification pursuant to Virginia Code	20	The request for admissions were served		
20		21	the following day on February 1. And there		
	Section 8.01-50.1, which Your Honor is very well familiar with.	22	is two that are at issue and before the		
22	-	23	Court. And they are very specific, Your		
23	Paragraph 15 alleges that Insight				
24	Health advertised to the public that it was a	24	Honor. The first one says it gave Insight		

	21		2
1	a choice. Insight could choose what it	1	knowledge of what Ms. Boros and Ms. DeLong
2	wanted to be. The first request for	2	did while working for Insight in the course
3	admissions says	3	and scope of their employment is imputed and
4	THE COURT: They don't have any	4	attributable to Insight. None of that is
5	argument about that. They said they weren't.	5	new.
	MR. BYRD: That's right, Your Honor.	6	There are federal cases, which I am
6		7	sure Your Honor is aware. The Court can look
7	THE COURT: They changed their mind is	0.5	
8	all.	8 to federal cases and decide in	
9	MR. BYRD: That's what I will jump	9 10	I believe it was the Shakeen case which noted
10	ahead then, Your Honor. When answering a	10.585	
11	request for admission and responding to it,	11	a similarity to the federal rule in the
12	an obligation is on the party to conduct	12	federal case or instruction. When Courts
13	reasonable inquiry. You cannot say you don't	13	have permitted amendments of
14	have knowledge or you are unable to admit or	14	THE COURT: It's unusual that you would
15	deny it without conducting reasonable	15	go to federal cases.
16	inquiry. Based upon the information	16	MR. BYRD: In this case.
17	THE COURT: If you say you don't have	17	THE COURT: In any case.
18	knowledge, you don't have knowledge.	18	MR. BYRD: That's right.
19	MR. BYRD: Right. But that's got to be	19	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
20	based on the information known or readily	20	MR. BYRD: But when courts have
21	attainable to you.	21	permitted amendments is when truly new
22	Now, what is at issue in Insight's	22	information has been learned. I remember
23	motion and its reason to amend its request	23	there was one case where it was a trademark
24	for admission responses is that Insight	24	dispute and the U.S. Trademark and Patent
	22		2-
1	learned apparently for the first time what	1	Office had issued an opinion saying that the
2	its employees actually do on a day-to-day	2	trademark wouldn't cause confusion, and one
3	basis and what their role is. Now, Insight	3	of the parties said, well, based upon this we
4	Health Corp entered into the management	4	would ask the Court for permission to change
5	services agreement that was referenced by	5	our response, and that was granted.
6	Insight on the 8th of July of 2010, well	6	Other times where courts have permitted
7	before Mr. Wingate presented to Insight, well	7	a change in the request for admission
8	before the complaint was filed, and well	8	responses has been when upholding the request
9	before the request for admissions were served	9	for admission responses would essentially
10	and responded to. I believe Insight	10	eliminate any trial on the merits. Any
11	officially took over sometime around December	11	defense to any of the merits of the case.
12	of 2010. And at that time, as the parties	12	And in fact, Rule 4:11 itself states
13	have testified to during depositions, Insight	13	that the Court may permit withdrawal or
14	trained the staff, Insight put in a new	14	amendment when the presentation of the merits
15	computer system. Insight knew what the	15	of the action will be subserved thereby and
16	employees, Sharon Boros and Karen DeLong,	16	the party who obtained the admission fails to
17	whose testimony is at issue as far as a	17	satisfy the Court that withdrawal or
18	reason to change or amend the request for	18	amendment will prejudice him in maintaining
19	admission responses, all of that was known to	19	his action or defense on the merits.
20	Insight at the time they responded to the	20	Before you get to the prejudice
21	request for admissions, which are at issue.	21	problem, Your Honor, before the Court could
22	So there is no new information here that	22	permit an amendment, there has to be a
	would justify an amendment. None of this is	23	showing that somehow the trial of the merits
23			

	25		27			
1	the standing request for admission responses.	1	In no way will it change Insight's ability to			
2	And that simply cannot be done in this case,	2	defend the case on whatever ground or			
3	Your Honor. Insight Health Corp is still	3	whatever theory it would so choose. Thank			
4	free on every ground to defend all of the		you, Your Honor.			
5	allegations that Ms. Wingate has made against		THE COURT: Anything else on those			
6	it, and the same is true in all the other		issues?			
7			MR. SHAW: Yes, Your Honor.			
8	liability, say it did not violate Virginia	8	THE COURT: I figured.			
9	Consumer Protection Act, can say it did not	9	MR. SHAW: Your Honor, we are not			
10	commit fraud, it can defend on causation, it	10	talking about whether we are not on notice or			
11	can defend on the amount of damages.	11	whether we were put on notice by the			
12	What Ms. Wingate is seeking relief on	12	complaint. That's a smoke screen. The			
13	is procedural matters only. Namely, what	13	bottom line is that Rule 4:11(b) sets forth			
14	sort of witnesses she can call. We are	14	the standard, and the standard is simply			
15	trying to eliminate things like the active	15	this: A trial court may exercise its			
16	clinical practice test at trial, and, Your	16	discretion to permit such withdrawal or			
17	Honor, I will represent to the Court that as	17	amendment, one, when the presentation of the			
18	a result of the request for admission	18	merits of the action will be subserved			
19	responses Ms. Wingate has retained a	19	thereby. In other words, would be consistent			
20	consultant she would not have otherwise	20	with the true facts of the case.			
21	obtained but for the admissions given. And	21	THE COURT: And.			
22	specifically, Your Honor, the statements that	22	MR. SHAW: And the party who obtained			
23	were made at the last hearing that we had by		the admission fails to satisfy the Court that			
24	Insight Health Corp in which it was stated	23 24	withdrawal or amendment will prejudice him in			
24	nisigni ricaini corp in which it was stated	21	2			
1		1	maintaining his action or defense on the			
1	that we are not a healthcare provider so I don't understand what I don't understand	2	merits. And there is no prejudice. We			
2	is why we are seeking advisory opinion from	3	haven't progressed so far in this case that			
3	the Court when by operation of law we have	4	there is some sort of deep prejudice or any			
4	* *	5	kind of prejudice that's been suffered by the			
5	already been found to be not a healthcare	6	plaintiff in this case, other than perhaps			
6	provider. Insight said we are saying we are	7	the inconvenience of having to deal with this			
7	not a healthcare provider. We are not		issue again.			
8	subject to the cap. We can't use that as an	8	THE COURT: The prejudice isn't meant			
9	affirmative defense or a defense to damages.	9				
10	It's entered by operation of law.	10	in terms of the remedy sought, though.			
11	Now, nothing has changed at this time.	11	MR. SHAW: No. Actually, the case law,			
12	There is no evidence before the Court except	12	and I am hoping that the Court will read			
13	that Insight Health Corp is not a healthcare	13	before making a decision on this			
14	provider. All we are asking for is what Your	14	THE COURT: I will try and do that.			
15	Honor was going to give us at the last	15	MR. SHAW: Thank you, Your Honor. The			
16	hearing was going to give us, Wingate, at	16	case law is very clear. The amendment won't			
17	the last case before the case was ultimately	17	prejudice the plaintiff. Under the second			
18	moved to federal court and then, of course,	18	prong, a party is prejudiced by amendment or			
19	returned, and now we are back here.	19	withdrawal if that party is now any less able			
20	There is no basis to permit a	20	to obtain the evidence required to prove the			
21	withdrawal or an amendment at this time,	21	matter which was admitted that it would have			
22	because again, Your Honor, the trial on the	22	been at the time the admission was made. The			
23	merits will in no way be impacted as a result	23	case should be decided on whether we are a			
24	of upholding the request for admissions here.	24	healthcare provider or not under the law. We			

	29		31
1	have in discovery and in looking at this	1	THE COURT: What's the status of that?
2	case and not just looking at the	2	MR. SHAW: It's in bankruptcy, Your
3	management services agreement, which states	3	Honor. Mr. Sexton knows people on the
4	in very bold language that we don't provide	4	steering committee, and we are awaiting word
5	medical care services and perhaps that was	5	from the MDL and the judges up there. The
6	the problem. As we were looking at it we	6 steering committee is working on a way	
7	were looking at are we doctors or not.	7 to invite us into that.	
8	Perhaps that was a mistake that I made, Your	8 THE COURT: Okay.	
9	Honor, in looking at this. But the bottom	9	MR. SHAW: But for right now, Your
10	line is, whether or not we are a healthcare	10	Honor, we are dealing with this here and now,
11	provider, the case law is very broad in this	11	and we are in state court, and that's the way
12	area, and it's been getting broader by as	12	we are pursuing this. And, Your Honor
13	the cases have progressed over the years	13	THE COURT: You don't have any other
14	since the statute and the act was entered.	14	choice.
15	Your Honor, we have technologists who	15	MR. SHAW: Perhaps, and that's fine,
16	have very specialized training. They have	16	because we really we really think this
17	learned how to use this equipment, they have	17	case could proceed forward on the truth. And
18	to get it they have to be certified by the	18	the truth is we are a healthcare provider,
19	state. Virginia has to certify them in order	19	and we are because we have licensed
20	to use this equipment. We did not know this	20	technologists and radiologists. And more
21	when we entered when we answered this.	21	over, we have physicians we are an
22	There is no prejudice to the plaintiff that	22	independent contractor to the physicians in
23	that issue is now reopened.	23	this practice, and that's something else that
24	We are not saying we want the Court to	24	we have argued in our brief. And I would ask
	30		32
1	flip around and say yes, you know, enter a	1	that the Court take a look at it and decide
2	summary judgment motion for us right now	2	on that.
3	saying that we are a healthcare provider. We	3	THE COURT: All right.
4	are asking that this remain open and be	4	MR. BYRD: May I, Your Honor?
5	elucidated in the facts of the case as we	5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	progress along.		
		0	MR. BYRD: Regarding the argument that
1		6 7	MR. BYRD: Regarding the argument that the responses to these were due before their
7	Now, if somewhere along the way in the	7	the responses to these were due before their
8	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are	7 8	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was
8	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiffs counsel wants to make a	7 8 9	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the
8 9 10	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a	7 8 9 10	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and
8 9 10 11	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we	7 8 9 10 11	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what
8 9 10 11 12	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line	7 8 9 10 11 12	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds'
8 9 10 11 12 13	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they were asking us to do all these things at the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer the complaint, that prejudice is entirely of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they were asking us to do all these things at the same time. We haven't even answered the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer the complaint, that prejudice is entirely of Insight's making and could not be used as an
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they were asking us to do all these things at the same time. We haven't even answered the complaint, and we are busy trying to get this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer the complaint, that prejudice is entirely of Insight's making and could not be used as an excuse, I would submit, to allow them to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they were asking us to do all these things at the same time. We haven't even answered the complaint, and we are busy trying to get this thing removed to federal court, we are trying	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer the complaint, that prejudice is entirely of Insight's making and could not be used as an excuse, I would submit, to allow them to change the request for admission responses.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they were asking us to do all these things at the same time. We haven't even answered the complaint, and we are busy trying to get this thing removed to federal court, we are trying to find a way to bring in the true party in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer the complaint, that prejudice is entirely of Insight's making and could not be used as an excuse, I would submit, to allow them to change the request for admission responses.  Now, there seems to have been some
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they were asking us to do all these things at the same time. We haven't even answered the complaint, and we are busy trying to get this thing removed to federal court, we are trying to find a way to bring in the true party in the case that caused this terrible meningitis	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer the complaint, that prejudice is entirely of Insight's making and could not be used as an excuse, I would submit, to allow them to change the request for admission responses.  Now, there seems to have been some statement that there was some confusion about
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now, if somewhere along the way in the course of discovery and more depositions are taken plaintiff's counsel wants to make a motion later on we might want to make a motion later on on the same to say that we are a healthcare provider. The bottom line is it's just too early. We are nine months away from trial, and we have just barely really begun discovery. At this point they were asking us to do all these things at the same time. We haven't even answered the complaint, and we are busy trying to get this thing removed to federal court, we are trying to find a way to bring in the true party in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the responses to these were due before their answer was due, before Insight's answer was due, Insight chose not to answer the complaint. Insight could have demurred and answered, which is precisely what Ms. Reynolds' clients did. Ms. Reynolds' clients filed pleas, demurrers, and answered the complaint. So if there is prejudice to Insight as a result of a failure to answer the complaint, that prejudice is entirely of Insight's making and could not be used as an excuse, I would submit, to allow them to change the request for admission responses.  Now, there seems to have been some

But you have the request for admission before you, and the request for admissions were very specific. There was nothing there designed to trick anybody. It didn't say just admit you are a healthcare provider. Admit you are not a healthcare provider. It said admit you are a healthcare provider as defined in Virginia Code Section 8.01-581.1. Admit you are not a healthcare provider as defined in Virginia Code Section 8.01-581.1. Those requests told Insight precisely where to look to begin answering and responding to the request for admissions and where the reasonable inquiry ought to begin.

Now, I guess they are conceding that they did not -- Insight is conceding that it did not look at the code section as it should have. Regardless, even looking at the management services agreement, Your Honor, the argument that's being made now about the independent contractor relationship was equally available to Insight at the time that it responded as it is now in the pleadings that are filed.

Now, to address the prejudice impact, Your Honor, again, I would note Ms. Wingate has retained a consultant she would not otherwise have retained as a result of this. This decision is going to have some impact and ramifications as far as what witnesses may be called and procedural elements about what witnesses may be qualified to testify as to what matters.

There is also an ongoing issue with a change in litigation strategies and keeping Ms. Wingate from being able to prepare her case and know what to expect. Judge, when we were here the last time, although I myself was not here, the case had already been set for trial. There was a hearing on a motion for partial summary judgment and there was some hearings on some pleadings filed by Ms. Reynolds' clients. And all of a sudden without any notice to anyone, the case was jerked up to federal court.

Now, the Western District of Virginia didn't take too kindly to the case coming up there. It was conceded that that removal was untimely, and I am getting a little bit aside, but, again, Judge, the sand seemed to keep shifting. We are going to be here in state court, we are going to set for trial; no, now we are going up to federal court. Now Ms. Wingate has to spend a lot of money and resources fighting against the multi-district litigation, the bankruptcy court, the Western District of Virginia, all to bring the case back on a removal which was conceded by Insight at oral argument to be untimely.

Now, in addition to that, Insight has said we are not a healthcare provider. As a result of that, Ms. Wingate relied on it and retained someone she would not otherwise have retained. Now, oh, sorry, we are a healthcare provider. Ms. Wingate needs to be able to have some understanding of the order and procedure under which her case will be tried, and it needs to be set. And what she is asking for is exactly what the Court was going to give her at the hearing on April 5 before the case was removed untimely. Thank

1 you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Want to respond to that?

MR. SHAW: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Fair enough. Gentlemen, I will take a look at that and get back with you as to that. I am assuming, Ms. Reynolds, you have nothing on --

MS. REYNOLDS: I have nothing.

THE COURT: Well, like Mr. Sexton, you typically make yourself heard if you want to be heard, and since I hadn't heard anything I assumed you had no issues there. All right.

So far as the scheduling order, it's probably helpful in these cases to have one. I'd like to have one unless it's just impossible to have the same apply to all cases. It would make more sense to me to do it that way.

As a general proposition, Mr. Shaw, I will say to you because I don't think I have had one with you, I frankly don't really care what's in them as long as you-all agree on them. But whatever you agree on I am going

	37	
1	to enforce strictly. This notion that	1
2	pretrial orders don't get enforced because	2
3	nobody cares doesn't make any sense to me.	3
4	And if we are going to have them, we are	4
5	going to enforce them. So I encourage and	5
6	want to get as much of a consensus as	6
7	possible in the pretrial order, but all I am	7
8	trying to say is, you know, make sure you	8
9	know what you are doing because I am going to	9
10	enforce them.	10
11	Now, I say I am going to enforce them	11
12	strictly. That is, if anybody wants them	12
13	enforced. If you-all agree no matter what it	13
14	says, if no one objects or if everyone agrees	14
15	we are not going to proceed with one aspect	15
16	or the other, that's fine. I mean, I am not	16
17	going to independently enforce anything if no	17
18	one wants it enforced. But if there is	18
19	objection or there is an issue about	19
20	enforcement of the order, then I typically	20
21	try and enforce them strictly, but with the	21
22	understanding ahead of time that I'd like to	22
23	get as much consensus as possible to even	23

only thing we would need is the rulings on the outstanding demurrers and pleas and bars since those things are all now back before the Court so that we can get all the appropriate claims before the appropriate parties. And if the complaint needs to be amended in any way get that done sooner rather than later so that, as you had said earlier, just keep the case moving forward.

39

40

THE COURT: So when do you want those rulings?

MR. BYRD: I won't be so presumptuous to put time --

THE COURT: I am just asking you when you need them.

MR. BYRD: I am left without words as far as providing a date, Judge. I have to be full of candor here. But that was the only thing that I had wanted to bring up today as far as the Rule 4:13.

MR. SEXTON: I have a couple of things to add to that. Judge, I don't -- do you recall the meeting we had back at the first hearing where we talked with how you do 19

38

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, in terms of particulars and details that we need to take up today, I will just -- let me just start over here.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to get there.

MR. BYRD: The only thing that I wanted to bring up, Your Honor, is we had -- I think we are making headway. We had talked this morning in some depositions about discovery and getting those things answered, so we are not really going to talk about outstanding interrogatories or anything like that.

THE COURT: Good. I don't want to hear about it.

MR. BYRD: I am sure you don't. The only thing is, of course, as you know, the cases have come back. All of them have come back. Mr. Sexton got the pleasure of going to Boston and arguing before the bankruptcy court up there, and they have all come back.

The only thing really at this time -we had talked about the terms of a scheduling order this morning as well, and I think Ms. Reynolds' office is circulating one for us to review. The only thing we had talked about this morning is just to -- I guess the

cases that all have some of the same facts?

I believe we are still on track to do what we said we would do then, which was try the Wingate case as the lead case. There is a lot of reasons for that, because, one, Mr. Wingate is deceased, so you don't have ongoing medical questions, which is a big, big, question in some of these other cases. Some of these people are very, very sick still. Still on IV therapy and, you know --

THE COURT: I am not going to rule on any of that right now.

MR. SEXTON: But I am just saying -- I just wanted to say that there is a reason why we picked this one. But on those other ones, we have already gone through a number of the depositions of the local people. We have hit -- I think after today -- Ben took two of the depositions. I think we have taken all of the local -- the local representatives once in the Wingate case.

On the next round, what I am thinking we would do is present an order consolidating for discovery purposes those other 17 or 18

cases so that any depositions that are taken	
subsequently would be pretty much effective	
so we don't have to take 18 more depositions	
of Doctor O'Brien or Doctor Mathis. And I	
suspect that in doing that the parties may	
just decide to adopt some of the Wingate	
depositions as like, for example, Doctor	
O'Brien and Doctor Mathis. I don't think	
their stories are going to change. There may	
be some questions as to whether they remember	
the particular patient or whatever.	
So anyway I just wanted to alert you	

So anyway, I just wanted to alert you to the fact that I think we are still on target for that, and we may submit some type of agreed order consolidating those things for discovery. And if you are interested, I could bring you up-to-date with the various things that have happened on the other level.

Mr. Byrd said that I was arguing in bankruptcy court. It was actually in the federal district court up there in Boston, the MDL court, and it was really -- it was kind of a circus there for a while, because we had the cases in front of Your Honor.

very same issues, and, of course, he knew about Judge Wilson's ruling at that time. So he is hearing all those same issues, and he ends up issuing an opinion that is at odds with the substance of Judge Wilson's opinion. He says I don't have to mandatorily abstain, I think there is related to jurisdiction, but I am going to discretionarily abstain on these cases at the moment because there has been no claim made against New England Compounding, and I am not even sure I really have jurisdiction, and it's a difficult opinion because he goes all over the place. He basically says he doesn't have jurisdiction, he doesn't think he has, but maybe he has it, and he is going to voluntarily abstain.

So what I think that did, the way I interpreted it, is it left a big loophole, potential loophole, that if Insight Health Corp takes certain actions like pursues a claim against New England Compounding aggressively for like contribution, then the judge would want to revisit that.

Then they got moved to federal court, so we were over in front of Judge Wilson with motions to remand, and at the same time we had motions to transfer to the multi-district litigation that were automatic that kicked in in that process, and then we also were fighting the bankruptcy trustees sort of magnet motion to suck us up there. So we were fighting this on all different kind of fronts.

We argued the exact same issues in front of Judge Wilson on May 7, and he issued an opinion within a week ruling squarely in favor of Mrs. Wingate's position and the other plaintiffs on all those issues that there was no federal jurisdiction, that if there was, it was too late, and, you know -- and notwithstanding all that, he said that the federal court needed to mandatorily abstain under the statute that's at issue, that there was mandatory abstention.

So then I had the awkward position of going up the next week, May 14, and arguing in front of the Boston district court on the

So we are in a really strange situation where at any moment there might be another motion by the trustee up there to drag us back up there again, even though the judge has not done so yet and has said proceed here.

And to be honest, there is -- that raises a remarkably bizarre procedural issue as to how that would happen, because Judge Wilson has already said those cases are not coming through me, because he literally said the words I am not a conduit. So he has made it clear that he doesn't ever want to see these cases again and he is not going to be the conduit to take these Roanoke cases up to this far jurisdiction, and he doesn't think that's the law.

So you have got a federal judge in Boston who may at one point attempt to issue an order to this Court to have it transfer a case under some absolutely undefined federal procedure. There is no federal procedure we can find. So I just -- I just alert you to this comedy crisis that is potentially on the

	45		47
1	horizon. And I I don't know whether it	1	MS. REYNOLDS: I know, exactly. But it
2	will or will not. I think a lot of people	2	may be that there are there will be an
3	who thought they might want to be up there in	3	amended complaint, and, certainly, Insight
4	that mess of bankruptcy and MDL have perhaps	4	will file their answer, and we are going to
5	decided maybe they don't want to be there.	5	have to file another answer so there is
6	And Insight may be one of those.	6 you know, once the Court does that the	
7	But that's that's the status, and it	will be a series of pleadings again, and	
8	is it's more federal and bankruptcy	8 may require a little bit more in the way of	
9	procedure than I ever wanted to know in my	9	discovery. So I would just say that to the
10	life we have had to figure out with regard to	10	Court because we do have you know, if we
11	these things. It has been very academically	11	do set deadlines, we will certainly need
12	interesting in this, but it hasn't given us a	12	to know what our pleadings are. Thank you.
13	lot of comfort that we are firmly on any	13	THE COURT: Certainly.
14	foundation in this Court, but we are going to	14	MR. SEXTON: Judge, can I comment on
15	proceed to trial, so	15	that as well?
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Shaw, what	16	THE COURT: Sure. Why not?
17	do you want in terms of specifics in a	17	MR. SEXTON: At the hearing on the
18	scheduling order?	18	demurrers, you asked I don't know if it
19	MR. SHAW: Your Honor, we do need to	19	was me. I think it might have been
20	sit down and discuss it, but one of the	20	Mr. Sullivan directly and then he looked to
21	things we really need to look at is to give	21	me or something. But I think the point was
22	us plenty of time to get experts and respond	22	on the fraud count if you ruled in the way
	to plaintiff's choice on experts in a normal	23	that the defendants were asking, would we
23	90/60/30 discovery order, which just won't	24	want to amend, and I think it somehow had
24		27	4
	46	1	
1	work in a case like this.	1	this impression that there was a suggestion
2	THE COURT: I would tend to agree with	2	that we had pled all the facts. We have
3	that, and I think we typically have, like you	3	certainly learned some additional facts that
4	good lawyers who work with these things	4	we think would apply to those. So to the
5	understand the practicalities of them, and I	5	extent that there ever was going to be a
6	am not going to you know, I want you-all	6	ruling on the fraud case in that regard, we
7	to tailor make something that will work for	7	would probably definitely want the
8	both of you. That's exactly what I was	8	opportunity to amend, to assert just a
9	saying before. I am not going to get	9	handful of additional facts that have come
10	involved in micromanaging that sort of stuff.	10	out in discovery.
11	You-all know it better than I do, you work	11	THE COURT: All right. Anything else
12	with it every day more than I do, and I want	12	from anyone?
13	you to reach a consensus on that.	13	MR. SHAW: No, Your Honor.
14	MR. SHAW: We will work together to get	14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you-all.
15	consensus, Your Honor.	15	That concludes this matter. We will stand in
16	THE COURT: Ms. Reynolds?	16	recess.
17	MS. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, may I just	17	THE BAILIFF: Court stands in recess.
18	comment on the demurrers and the	18	
19	THE COURT: Sure.	19	(3:51 p.m.)
20	MS. REYNOLDS: I don't know what the	20	
21	effect of the ruling will or rulings will	21	
22	be, but it may be	22	* * * *
23	THE COURT: It depends on what they	23	
24	are.	24	

49	
CERTIFICATE	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA	
CITY OF ROANOKE	
I, Mary J. Butenschoen, RPR, Notary Public in	
and for the Commonwealth of Virginia, at Large, do	
hereby certify that the proceedings were by me reduced	
to machine shorthand, afterwards transcribed by me by	
means of computer, and that to the best of my ability	
the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the	
proceedings as aforesaid.	
I further certify that these proceedings were	
taken at the time and place specified in the foregoing	
caption.	
I further certify that I am not a relative,	
counsel or attorney for either party, or otherwise	
interested in the outcome of this action.	
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
at Roanoke, Virginia, on the 13th day of February,	
2014.	
MARY J. BUTENSCHOEN, RPR	
NOTARY PUBLIC	
My Commission expires May 31, 2016.	
Number 228402	
1\dinoc1 220\d2	
.e	

A	11:10 13:18	35:10 36:6 38:15	caption 49:13	clinical 25:16	20:14 30:9 49:15
ability 27:1 49:8	21:23 22:18	38:16,18 39:3,23	care 4:9 19:7 20:6	clinton 2:7	count 47:22
able 28:19 34:12	47:24 48:8	44:4	29:5 36:22	code 18:20 33:8,10	counts 18:4,15
35:19	amended 39:7 47:3	backwards 7:4	cares 37;3	33:17	couple 39:21
absolutely 44:21	amendment 12:24	bailiff 48:17	case 7:19 10:7 12:2	come 9:4,21 38:15	course 8:2 9:20
abstain 42:20 43:6	22:23 24:14,18	bankruptey 31:2	12:10,11,21 13:8	38:15,18 48:9	10:8,13 23:2
43:8,17	24:22 26:21	35:8 38:17 41:20	14:8 16:4 17:11	comedy 44:24	26:18 30:8 38:14
abstention 42:21	27:17,24 28:16	42:7 45:4,8	18:1 23:10,12,16	comfort 45:13	43:1
academically	28:18	barely 30:14	23:17,23 24:11	coming 34:23	court 1:3 3:3,7,13
45:11	amendments 23:13	bars 39:2	24:24 25:2 26:17	44:11	3:18,21 4:10,16
acquainted 8:1	23:21	based 21:16,20	26:17 27:2,20	comment 46:18	4:20 5:2,8,10,14
act 4:8 10:2,24	amount 13:6 25:11	24:3	28:3,6,11,16,23	47:14	5:20 6:2,10,13,20
18:17 20:2 25:9	answer 32:8,8,9,15	basically 10:20	29:2,11 30:5,21 31:17 34:13,15	commission 49:23 commit 25:10	7:1,8,20 8:15,22 9:7,12,14,17 10:4
29:14	47:4,5 answered 6:6 8:7	12:11 43:14 basis 16:23 22:3	34:20,23 35:10	committee 31:4,6	11:3,6,10,13,20
acted 19:19	12:2,13 13:21	26:20	35:20,24 39:9	commonwealth	11:22 12:5,6,8,21
action 24:15,19	29:21 30:17	begun 30:15	40:4,4,21 44:21	49:2.5	12:23 13:9,10,15
27:18 28:1 49:16	32:11,13,24,24	behalf 2:5,9,12	46:1 48:6	company 7:21	13:23 14:5,9.12
actions 43:21	38:8	believe 22:10 23:10	cases 6:14 9:4.5	14:15	14:20 15:2,5,16
active 25:15	answering 21:10	40:2	11:15.19 12:12	complaint 18:1,4	15:21,23 16:11
add 39:22	33:12	ben 40:18	12:23 16:6 23:6	18:12.18 20:11	16:17 17:1,8,15
addition 35:13	answers 6:1 13:18	benjamin 2:4	23:8,15 25:7	20:18 22:8 27:12	17:23 18:14
additional 48:3,9	anybody 5:20 33:4	best 49:8	29:13 36:15.18	30:18 32:10.14	20:23 21:4,7,17
address 13:5 34:1	37:12	better 46:11	38:15 40:1,8	32:16 39:6 47:3	23:7,14,17,19
admission 8:20 21:11,24 22:19	anyway 17:6 41:12	big 10:21 40:7.8	41:1,24 43:9	compliment 14:2	24:4,13,17,21
24:7,9,16 25:1,18	apologize 3:21	43:19	44:10,14,15	compounding	25:17 26:4,12,18
27:23 28:22	14:13 17:14	bit 16:16 35:1 47:8	causation 25:10	43:11,22	27:5,8,15,21,23
32:19 33:1	apparently 22:1	bizarre 44:8	cause 3:1 24:2	computer 22:15	28:9,12,14 29:24
admissions 6:7 8:8	appearances 2:1	blessing 15:11	caused 30:21	49:8	30:19,23 31:1,8
11:10 13:19	apply 36:17 48:4	bold 29:4	centers 19:8	conceded 4:11 6:3	31:11,13 32:1,3,5
20:20 21:3 22:9	appreciate 14:1	bonner 2:6	central 1:20	34:24 35:11	34:21 35:4,5,9,22
22:21 25:21	appropriate 39:5,5	boros 22:16 23:1	certain 43:21	conceding 33:15,16	36:2,5,10 38:11
26:24 33:2,13	appropriately 3:15	boston 38:17-41:21	certainly 14:1 47:3	concludes 48:15	38:18 39:4,10,14
admit 21:14 33:4,5	april 14:4 35:23	42:24 44:19	47:11,13 48:3	conduct 21:12	40:11 41:20,21
33:6,8	area 29:12	bottom 12:1 27:13	certification 18:20	conducting 21:15	41:22 42:1,19,24
admitted 12:16	argued 31:24 42:11	29:9 30:12	certified 29:18	conduit 44:12,15	44:20 45:14,16
28:21	arguing 38:17	box 1:20	certify 29:19 49:6 49:11,14	conference 9:3 confusion 24:2	46:2,16,19,23 47:6,10,13,16
adopt 41:6	41:19 42:23 argument 19:24	brief 31:24 bring 3:10,11 12:9	chance 13:17	32:21	48:11,14,17
advance 17:14	21:5 32:6 33:20	18:3 30:20 35:10	change 22:18 24:4	consensus 37:6,23	courts 23:12,20
advertised 18:24	35:11	38:5 39:19 41:17	24:7 27:1 32:19	46:13,15	24:6
19:5	aside 35:2	broad 29:11	34:11 41:9	consent 20:5	coverage 10:23
advisory 26:3	asked 47:18	broader 29:12	changed 21:7	consistent 13:20	covered 9:16
affability 4:7 affirmative 26:9	asking 4:21 9:14,17	brought 3:11	26:11	27:19	crisis 44:24
aforesaid 49:10	9:18 13:15 26:14	burden 13:5	changes 20:17,18	consolidating	crociata 2:6
agency 22:24	30:4,16 35:22	business 8:2	changing 10:7	40:23 41:15	-
aggressively 43:23	39:14 47:23	busy 30:18	charles 1:17 3:2	consultant 25:20	D
agree 16:18 36:23	aspect 37:15	butenschoen 17:16	choice 21:1 31:14	34:3	d 2:4
36:24 37:13 46:2	assert 48:8	49:4,22	45:23	consumer 18:16	damages 25:11
agreed 41:15	assume 15:11	byrd 2:4 3:17 4:4	choose 21:1 27:3	25:9	26:9
agreement 8:11	assumed 36:13	4:12 8:17,18 9:1	chose 32:9	contained 18:18	date 14:3 39:17
12:4 22:5 29:3	assuming 15:8 36:7	11:17,21 15:6,21	chris 14:7	contains 18:4	day 4:23 12:11
32:23 33:19	attainable 21:21	15:22 16:8,13,24	christopher 2:8	contend 6:18 9:23	17:24 20:21
agrees 37:14	attempt 44:19	17:4,9,18 21:6,9	circuit 1:3 3:3	context 17:13	46:12 49:18
ahead 9:12 21:10	attorney 49:15	21:19 23:16,18	circulating 38:22	continue 3:23	days 17:21
23:19 37:22	attributable 23:4	23:20 32:4,6	circumstances	contract 8:11	daytoday 22:2
al 1:10	authority 17:2	38:4,13 39:12,16	10:22 13:22	contractor 31:22	de 2:7
alert 41:12 44:23	automatic 42:5	.41:19	circus 41:23	33:21	deadlines 47:11
allegation 19:3	available 33:22	С	city 1:4 3:3 49:3 cl12254771 3:8	contribution 43:23 corp 1:10 2:9 7:21	deal 14:21 28:7
allegations 18:5,8	awaiting 31:4 aware 15:14 23:7		elaim 43:10,22	8:12 9:15 20:9	dealing 31:10
18:19 19:13 20:4	awkward 42:22	e 49:1,1 call 20:13 25:14	claims 39:5	20:13,14,15 22:4	deals 15:19 dealt 9:19 16:22
25:5	awkwaru 42.22		clear 28:16 44:13	25:3,7,24 26:13	
allegedly 19:11	В	called 10:9 34:7 canceled 15:13,16	clearly 12:22	43:21	deceased 1:7 40:6 december 17:23
alleges 18:23	b 2:20 27:13	canceled 13:13,16	clerk 3:9	corporate 8:13	22:11
alleging 10:24	back 5:9,10,11,13	39:18	elient 7:22	correct 9:1 49:9	decide 13:12 23:8
allow 6:20,21	7:19 8:22 9:4	cant 26:8	clients 19:11,15,16	counsel 2:5,9,12	32:1 41:6
32:18 amend 6:1 8:19	17:10 26:19	cap 9:16 26:8	32:12,13 34:19	10:7 14:8 15:7	decided 6:22 28:23
		· THE PLAN BUILD			THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN

45:5	13:10 15:9,24	exercise 27:15	fully 18:11	12:16 18:6,9	37:17
decision 28:13 34:5	21:4,13,17,18	expect 34:13	further 49:11,14	20:3,9,10,16 26:1	information 9:20
deep 28:4	26:2,2 29:4	experts 45:22,23		26:5,7,13 28:24	21:16,20 22:22
defend 25:4,7,10	31:13 36:21,22	expires 49:23	G	29:10 30:3,12	23:22
25:11 27:2	37:2 38:11,13	extent 48:5	g 1:1,6	31:18 33:5,6,7,9	informed 20:5
defendant 3:18 4:8	39:22 40:6 41:3		gears 10:7	35:14,18	inquiry 21:13,16
5:1	41:8 43:6 45:1,5	F	general 36:20	hear 38:11	33:14
defendants 1:11	46:20 47:18	f 2:11 49:1	gentlemen 3:21	heard 1:16 3:1	insight 1:10 2:9 3:8
47:23	dorsey 1:17 3:2	fact 3:23 12:14,15	36:5	36:11,12,12	4:8 7:13,14,21
defense 24:11,19	douglas 1:7	24:12 41:13	gentry 2:3	hearing 4:14 8:21	8:6,12 9:15
26:9,9 28:1	dr 2:12,12	facts 27:20 30:5	getting 5:21 7:24	11:19 17:16	10:12 17:19 18:7
defined 33:7,9	drag 44:3	40:1 48:2,3,9	10:13 12:10	25:23 26:16	18:23 19:2,5,12
definitely 48:7	due 3:22 32:7,8,9	fails 24:16 27:23	29:12 35:1 38:8	34:16 35:23	19:14,19,23 20:2
definition 10:1	duties 11:2	failure 32:15	give 13:17,18 14:2	39:24 43:3 47:17	20:8,12,14,15,24
delong 22:16 23:1	duty 20:2,5	fair 36:5	15:9 26:15,16	hearings 34:18	21:1,24 22:3,6,7
demurred 32:10		fall 7:16	35:23 45:21	heath 1:10 2:9	22:10,13,14,15
demurrer 18:13	E	familiar 18:22	given 12:12 25:21	helpful 36:15	22:20,24 23:2,4
demurrers 32:13	e 2:20 49:1,1	far 13:3 16:14	45:12	hereunto 49:17 hesitation 14:21	25:3,7,24 26:6,13 32:9,10,15 33:11
39:2 46:18 47:18	earlier 39:9	22:17 28:3 34:6	go 9:12 17:10 23:15	highest 19:6	33:16,22 35:11
deny 21:15	early 7:19 12:21	36:14 39:17,20	23:19	history 7:24 17:11	35:13 43:20 45:6
depends 46:23 depositions 7:12	30:13	44:16	goes 20:3 43:13 going 3:22 6:17,19	hit 40:18	47:3
	easily 15:10	favor 42:14		honest 44:7	insights 21:22 27:1
22:13 30:8 38:7 40:17,19 41:1,3,7	effect 46:21 effective 41:2	february 20:21 49:18	7:4,5 8:22 9:21 9:22 10:24 14:24	honor 3:17,20 4:4	32:8.17
described 10:2	either 9:21 49:15	federal 5:2,8 12:6,8	15:2 16:3,3,5,5	4:18 5:4 7:18 8:7	instances 12:19,20
description 2:21	elements 34:7	23:6.8.11.12.15	17:6 26:15.16	8:18 9:1 11:9,17	instruction 23:12
designated 19:12	eliminate 24:10	26:18 30:19	34:5 35:3,4,5,23	12:1 13:14,21	insurance 7:21
designed 33:3	25:15	34:21 35:5 41:21	36:24 37:4,5,9,11	14:6 15:22 16:8	interest 20:1
desperation 15:12	elucidated 30:5	42:1,16,19 44:18	37:15.17 38:9.16	17:4,9,18 18:21	interested 41:16
details 38:2	emailed 18:1	44:21.22 45:8	40:11 41:9 42:23	20:24 21:6,10	49:16
determine 9:15	emphasis 10:18	fighting 35:7 42:7	43:8,16 44:14	23:7 24:21 25:3	interesting 45:12
detour 5:7	employees 10:11	42:9	45:14 46:6.9	25:17,22 26:15	interpreted 43:19
diagnostic 19:1	22:2,16	figure 11:23 45:10	47:4 48:5	26:22 27:4,7,9	interpreting 23:9
didnt 11:1 15:16	employment 19:14	figured 14:15 27:8	good 14:15,21	28:15 29:9,15	interrogatories
17:5 33:4 34:23	23:3	file 17:5 47:4,5	38:11 46:4	31:3,10,12 32:4	38:10
died 17:21	encourage 37:5	filed 5:23 8:21	granted 24:5	33:19 34:2 36:1	interrupt 8:15
different 5:15,17	ends 43:4	12:13 16:14	gray 1:7	36:4 38:5 41:24	11:18
12:3 16:16 42:9	enforce 37:1,5,10	17:23 20:12 22:8	great 15:4	45:19 46:15,17	introduce 14:7
difficult 43:12	37:11,17,21	32:13 33:24	gross 18:17	48:13	invite 31:7
directly 47:20	enforced 37:2,13	34:18	ground 25:4 27:2	honorable 1:17 3:2	involved 3:13 7:10
director 19:12	37:18	files 3:10	guess 33:15 38:24	hope 14:24	46:10
discovery 6:9 7:11	enforcement 37:20	find 12:8 30:20	guided 2:12 19:9	hopefully 14:23	isnt 16:12 28:9
8:3,9 9:20 10:8	england 43:10,22	44:23		hoping 3:12 28:12 horizon 45:1	issue 6:21,24 8:16
13:3 29:1 30:8	enter 30:1	fine 13:13 17:17	н	norizon 45:1	8:23 9:19 13:5 16:11,21 18:10
30:15 38:7 40:24	entered 3:14 4:11	31:15:37:16	h 2:20	- 1	20:22 21:22
41:16 45:24 47:9	4:12,17 5:5,18	finished 15:6	hadnt 16:16 36:12	id 14:6 36:16 37:22	22:17,21 28:8
48:10 discretion 12:22	6:15 11:16 22:4	firmly 45:13 first 15:6 20:24	hand 49:17	igpm 8:12	29:23 34:10
27:16	26:10 29:14,21 entirely 32:16	21:2 22:1 39:23	handful 48:9	im 9:7 13:15	37:19 42:20 44:8
discretionarily	equally 33:22	five 18:4	happen 44:9 happened 4:18 9:4	image 2:12 19:9	44:19
43:8	equipment 29:17	flip 30:1	41:18	imaging 19:1,7	issued 24:1 42:12
discuss 10:5 45:20	29:20	following 3:1,4	happy 10:5	impact 34:1,5	issues 8:17 14:17
discussed 17:12	esi 17:19	20:21	hasnt 5:19 45:12	impacted 26:23	27:6 36:13 42:11
disparaging 16:1	especially 18:11	foregoing 49:9,12	hassell 2:8 14:7	important 17:10	42:15 43:1,3
dispute 23:24	esq 2:4,4,7,8,11	forth 27:13	16:15 18:2	importantly 8:10	issuing 43:4
district 34:22 35:9	essentially 24:9	forward 7:11 9:21	hate 11:17	impossible 36:17	iv 40:10
41:21 42:24	estate 1:7 17:22	31:17 39:9	havent 3:11 28:3	impression 48:1	3
doctor 19:10 41:4,4	et 1:10	found 6:16 26:5	30:17	imputed 23:3	J
41:7,8	evening 5:2	foundation 45:14	headway 38:6	inaction 10:18	j 2:4 49:4,22
doctors 8:3,13	everybody 16:4	franklin 17:20	health 4:1,9 7:21	inadvertently	january 7:19
10:15 19:16 29:7	evidence 9:24	frankly 36:22	8:12 18:24 19:15	14:10	jerked 34:21
32:22	26:12 28:20	fraud 18:17 25:10	19:19 20:9,12,14	including 3:22	jr 2:7
doesnt 16:7 37:3	exact 42:11	47:22 48:6	20:15 22:4 25:3	18:19	judge 3:2 5:8 32:24
43:14,15 44:13	exactly 11:12 35:22	free 25:4	25:7,24 26:13	inconvenience 13:6	34:13 35:2 39:17
44:16	46:8 47:1	front 41:24 42:2,12	43:20	28:7	39:22 42:2,12
doing 4:3,3 7:13	example 41:7	42:24	healthcare 6:4,8,17	independent 31:22	43:2,5,24 44:4,9
15:10 37:9 41:5	excuse 30:24 32:18	fronts 42:10	6:23 7:17 8:23	33:21	44:18 47:14
dont 4:20 7:6 11:20	executor 1:6 17:22	full 39:18	9:22 10:1 12:15	independently	judges 3:24 31:5

ind 4-6 24	locke 2:3
judgment 4:6,24	
5:24 11:11,15	long 36:23
30:2 34:17	longstanding 7:22
july 1:13 3:1 22:6	longwinded 17:13
jump 21:9	look 16:19 18:10
juncture 16:2	23:7 32:1,22
jurisdiction 42:16	33:11,17 36:6
43;7,12,15 44;16	45:21
justify 22:23	looked 8:8 16:16
	47:20
К	looking 10:12,15
karen 22:16	12:3 20:8 29:1,2
keep 35:3 39:9	29:6,7,9 33:18
keeping 34:11	loophole 43:19,20
kicked 42:5	lot 35:6 40:5 45:2
kiernan 2:6	45:13
kind 3:9 28:5 41:23	III SOLITO
42:9	M
kindly 34:23	m 1:13 48:19
knew 14:14 22:15	machine 49:7
43:1	magnet 42:8
know 6:11 7:6,20	maintaining 24:18
9:3 13:21 16:19	28:1
17:18 29:20 30:1	maker 12:9 30:22
34:13 37:8,9	making 7:3 15:19
38:14 40:10	28:13 32:17 38:6
42:17 45:1.9	malpractice 4:8
46:6,11,20 47:1,6	9:16 10:23
	management 2:12
47:10,12,18	
knowledge 21:14	8:10 12:4 19:9
21:18,18 23:1	22:4 29:3 32:22
known 21:20 22:19	33:19
knows 31:3	mandatorily 42:19 43:6
L	mandatory 42:21
	mary 49:4,22
language 29:4	mathis 2:12 8:3,13
large 49:5	
larger 16:21	19:10,16 41:4,8
late 14:4 42:17	matter 4:15 5:1,3
law 12:21 13:8	16:7,10 28:21
22:24 26:4,10	37:13 48:15
28:11,16,24	matters 3:15,22 4:5
29:11 44:17	25:13 34:9
lawyers 46:4	mdl 31:5 41:22
lead 14:8 15:7 40:4	45:4
learned 6:9 8:4	mean 12:21 15:24
10:9 22:1 23:22	16:6 37:16
29:17 48:3	means 49:8
learning 7:24 8:1	meant 28:9
leave 6:1 13:18	medical 4:7 19:12
leclair 2:10	29:5 40:7
left 39:16 43:19	medicine 19:4
legislature 4:1	meet 14:13
level 41:18	meeting 39:23
liability 25:8	meningitis 30:21
licensed 10:21	mentioned 19:23
31:19	mentions 19:8,10
life 45:10	19:19 20:1
	merits 6:23 24:10
light 15:24	24:11,14,19,23
line 12:1 27:13	
29:10 30:12	26:23 27:18 28:2
literally 44:11	mess 45:4
litigation 34:11	met 14:13
35:8 42:5	microbiology
little 5:7 8:9 10:8	10:10
16:16 35:1 47:8	micromanaging 46:10
40000 T. L. L. L.	a anath

46:10

mind 21:7

live 11:1

local 40:17,20,20

```
missing 10:11,19
mistake 10:21 29:8
moment 43:9 44:2
money 35:6
months 13:1,2
  30:13
moore 2:3
morning 38:7,21
  38:24
motion 4:6 5:23,24
  6:1 8:19 11:4.8
  17:5 21:23 30:2
  30:10.11 34:16
  42:8 44:3
motions 4:24 11:7
  11:13 42:3,4
moved 26:18 42:1
moving 7:11 9:6
  39:9
multidistrict 35:8
  42:4
n 1:1,17 3:2
name 8:13
nancy 2:11
national 19:1
necc 12:9
necessary 16:18
need 10:23 18:10
  38:2 39:1.15
  45:19,21 47:11
needed 9:5 42:19
needs 7:20 16:21
  35:18.21 39:6
negligence 10:17
  18:15.17.17
negotiate 15:18
network 19:7
never 13:24
new 12:11 22:14.22
  22:24 23:5.21
  43:10,22
night 4:14
nine 13:2 30:13
normal 45:23
notary 49:4,22
note 34:2
noted 23:10
notice 8:20 16:2,6
  18:7 19:3 27:10
  27:11 34:20
notices 11:18 16:7
notified 10:14
notion 37:1
notwithstanding
  42:18
number 2:21 7:12
  18:5,19 40:16
  49:24
numbers 16:1
nurses 19:20
        0
oath 3:13
objecting 5:22
```

objection 5:20

37:19

```
objects 37:14
obligation 21:12
obrien 2:12 8:4,14
  19:17 41:4.8
obtain 28:20
obtained 24:16
 25:21 27:22
occupied 15:15
odds 43:4
offered 19:6
office 24:1 38:22
officially 22:11
oh 35:17
okay 5:14 9:12
  11:6,21 14:5
  17:8 23:19 31:8
once 40:21 47:6
ones 5:15 40:15
ongoing 34:10 40:7
open 15:19 30:4
operation 26:4,10
opinion 24:1 26:3
  42:13 43:4,5,13
opportunity 48:8
opposed 6:24
opposition 5:23
oral 35:11
order 4:11,12,16
  6:15,20 15:1
  29:19 35:19
  36:14 37:7,20
  38:21 40:23
  41:15 44:20
  45:18,24
orders 5:6 6:21
ought 33:14
outbreak 30:22
outcome 49:16
outpatient 19:7
outstanding 38:9
p 1:13 48:19
page 2:21
pain 2:12 19:9
paragraph 18:23
  19:5,8,10,18 20:1
parameters 11:24
partial 4:6 5:24
  11:11 34:17
particular 12:13
  41:11
particulars 38:1
parties 22:12 24:3
  39:6 41:5
partner 14:8
party 21:12 24:16
  27:22 28:18.19
  30:20 49:15
patent 23:24
patient 41:11
pending 18:13
people 8:5 19:22
  31:3 40:9,17
permission 24:4
```

37:2

39:2

45:2

permit 24:13.22 26:20 27:16 permitted 12:24 13:1 23:13,21 24:6 person 11:1 personnel 19:21 perspective 9:10 pertaining 19:4 pertinent 18:5 phone 20:13 physicians 31:21 31:22 picked 40:15 place 4:13 7:12 12:3 43:13 49:12 plaintiff 1:8 2:5 3:16 28:6,17 29:22 plaintiffs 10:6 30:9 42:15 45:23 pleadings 10:3 33:23 34:18 47:7 47:12 pleas 32:13 39:2 pleasure 38:16 pled 48:2 plenty 9:24 45:22 po 1:20 point 5:13 15:20 30:15 44:19 47:21 position 6:14 42:14 42:22 possible 37:7,23 potential 43:20 potentially 44:24 practicalities 46:5 practice 19:4 25:16 31:23 precisely 32:11 33:11 prejudice 13:4,6,7 24:18,20 27:24 28:2,4,5,9,17 29:22 32:14.16 34:1 prejudiced 24:24 28:18 prepare 34:12 prepared 16:9 present 40:23 presentation 24:14 27:17 presented 22:7 presumptuous 39:12 pretrial 9:2 37:2.7 pretty 41:2 probably 15:14 16:21 36:15 48:7 problem 24:21 29:6 procedural 16:22 17:11 25:13 34:7 44:8 procedurally 16:14 procedure 35:20

44:22.22 45:9 proceed 31:17 37:15 44:5 45:15 proceedings 3:4 49:6,10,11 process 42:6 progress 7:3 30:6 progressed 28:3 29:13 prong 28:18 proper 17:13 proposition 36:20 protection 18:16 25:9 prove 28:20 provide 20:5 29:4 provider 6:4,8,17 6:23 7:17 8:24 9:23 10:1 12:15 12:17 18:9 19:1 20:9,10,16 26:1,6 26:7,14 28:24 29:11 30:3,12 31:18 33:5,6,7,9 35:14,18 providers 20:3 providing 39:17 provision 18:6 19:8 prudent 20:3 public 18:24 19:6 49:4,22 purely 16:22 purposes 40:24 pursuant 18:20 pursues 43:21 pursuing 31:12 put 18:7 19:2 22:14 27:11 39:13 putting 10:17 0 qualified 17:22 34:8

> questions 40:7 41:10 r 1:1 2:7 49:1 rack 3:10 radiologists 31:20 radiology 7:15 10:12,18 raises 44:8 rakes 2:3 ramifications 34:6 reach 46:13 read 13:11 28:12 readily 21:20 ready 3:16,19 really 7:2 16:2,7 30:15 31:16,16 36:22 38:9,19

> > 41:22 43:11 44:1

45:21

qualifies 20:9

question 12:14

quality 19:6

40:8

reason 18:3 21:23
22:18 40:14
reasonable 20:2,6
21:13,15 33:14 reasons 4:2 40:5
recall 4:19,20
39:23
receive 11:18
received 17:19
20:13 recess 48:16,17
reconsideration
11:11
record 6:3
reduced 49:6
referenced 22:5
referring 19:22 refresh 4:22
regard 45:10 48:6
regarding 18:6
32:6
regardless 16:12
17:7 33:18
related 4:15 43:7
relates 4:7 relationship 19:14
33:21
relative 49:14
relied 35:15
relief 25:12
remain 30:4
remand 42:3 remarkably 44:8
remedy 28:10
remember 23:22
41:10
removal 4:13 34:24 35:10
removed 5:1 30:19
35:24
reopened 29:23
reply 17:5
report 10:10,20
reporter 3:14 reporters 1:20
reporters 1.20 reports 10:13,14
represent 25:17
representatives
40:20
request 6:7 8:8,20
13:19,24 20:20
21:2,11,23 22:9 22:18,21 24:7,8
25:1,18 26:24
32:19 33:1,2,13
requests 33:11
require 47:8
required 28:20
research 20:8
resources 35:7 respond 18:11 36:2
45:22
responded 22:10
22:20 33:23
responding 21:11
33:12
response 24:5 responses 21:24
responses 21.24

```
22:19 24:8,9
  25:1,19 32:7,19
result 20:18 24:24
  25:18 26:23
  32:15 34:4 35:15
retained 7:20
  25:19 34:3,4
  35:16,17
returned 26:19
review 38:23
reviewed 4:2
revisit 6:20 7:5,7,9
  43:24
reynolds 2:11
  14:14 19:11.15
  19:16 32:12,12
  34:19 36:7,9
  38:22 46:16,17
  46:20 47:1
right 3:18 8:18 9:7
  11:12,24 13:7
  14:9,12,16 21:6
  21:19 23:18 30:2
  31:9 32:3 36:13
  40:12 45:16
  48:11,14
road 17:20
roanoke 1:4,21 2:3
  2:10 3:3,4 44:15
  49:3,18
role 22:3
roles 8:5
roster 15:9
round 40:22
rpr 49:4,22
rubric 7:17
rule 9:2 23:9,11
  24:12 27:13
  39:20 40:11
ruled 47:22
ruling 42:13 43:2
  46:21 48:6
rulings 39:1,11
  46:21
ryan 2:10
s 2:20 23:24
sample 3:12
sand 35:2
satisfy 24:17 27:23
saying 10:19,20
  24:1 26:6 29:24
  30:3 40:13 46:9
says 20:24 21:3
  37:14 43:6,14
scheduling 14:24
  36:14 38:20
  45:18
scope 23:3,9
scott 2:4
screen 27:12
se 18:15
seating 15:8
second 8:16 28:17
secretaries 19:20
section 18:21 33:8
```

33:10,17

```
see 7:10 44:13
seeking 25:12 26:3
sense 36:18 37:3
sent 5:10,11 16:15
separate 15:3
september 17:20
series 47:7
served 20:12,20
  22:9
services 8:11 12:4
  18:7 19:2 22:5
  29:3,5 32:23
  33:19
set 4:24 6:14 14:3
  15:17 17:15
  34:15 35:4,21
  47:11 49:17
sets 27:13
sexton 2:4 4:17,18
  4:22 5:11 15:7
  15:12,17,18
  17:24 20:13 31:3
  36:10 38:16
  39:21 40:13
  47:14,17
shakeen 23:10
sharon 1:6 22:16
shaw 2:7 3:20 5:14
  5:17,22 6:5,11,19
  7:6,10 9:8,11,14
  9:18 11:5,7,12,14
  12:1,7 13:13,17
  14:4,6,10,19,23
  15:4 27:7.9.22
  28:11,15 30:24
  31:2,9,15 36:4,20
  45:16,19 46:14
  48:13
shifting 35:3
short 3:23
shorthand 49:7
shortly 20:11
show 9:22
showed 5:3
showing 24:23
sick 40:9
signed 7:18
similarity 23:11
simply 25:2 27:14
sit 45:20
sitting 3:3
situation 12:18
  44:1
six 12:12 13:20
skills 7:16
smoke 27:12
sooner 39:7
sorry 9:7 35:17
sort 6:13 10:16
  11:22 25:14 28:4
  42:7 46:10
sought 28:10
specialized 7:16
  29:16
specific 20:23 33:3
specifically 16:10
  25:22
specifics 45:17
```

```
specified 49:12
spend 35:6
spin 10:17
spread 3:15
squarely 19:2
  42:13
staff 19:20 22:14
stand 48:15
standard 27:14,14
standing 25:1
standpoint 7:2
stands 48:17
start 38:3
state 29:19 31:11
  35:4
stated 17:1 20:15
  25:24
statement 32:21
statements 25:22
states 24:12 29:3
status 30:23 31:1
  45:7
statute 29:14 42:20
steering 31:4,6
steroid 12:10 30:22
stories 41:9
strange 44:1
strategies 34:11
strictly 37:1,12,21
stuff 46:10
subject 26:8
submit 13:4 32:18
  41.14
submitted 10:4
  12:23 13:8
subsequently 41:2
subserved 24:15
  27:18
substance 43:5
suck 42:8
sudden 34:19
suffered 28:5
suggestion 48:1
suit 17:23
sullivan 47:20
summary 4:6,24
  5:24 11:11,15
  30:2 34:17
sure 16:24 23:7
  32:5 37:8 38:13
  43:11 46:19
  47:16
suspect 41:5
system 22:15
t 2:20 49:1,1
tailor 46:7
tainted 12:9
take 16:19 32:1
  34:23 36:6 38:2
  41:3 44:15
taken 7:12 13:7
  30:9 40:19 41:1
  49:12
takes 43:21
talk 38:9
```

39:24 talking 6:5 27:10 target 41:14 tech 10:19 technically 4:5 5:4 technicians 19:20 technologist 10:21 technologists 7:15 19:21 29:15 31:20 techs 10:12 tell 13:23 ten 11:14.19 tend 46:2 terms 14:17 17:2 28:10 38:1,20 45:17 terrible 30:21 test 25:16 testified 7:14 22:13 testify 34:8 testimony 8:3 22:17 thank 27:3 28:15 35:24 36:2 47:12 48:14 thats 3:14 4:20 8:16 10:2,3 13:7 14:12 18:13 21:6 21:9.19 23:18 27:12 28:5 31:11 31:15,23 32:23 33:20 37:16 42:20 44:17 45:7 45:7 46:8 theory 27:3 therapeutics 19:9 therapy 40:10 thing 9:9 10:6,9 30:19 38:4,14,19 38:23 39:1,19 things 9:9 16:14 19:18 25:15 30:16 38:8 39:3 39:21 41:15,18 45:11.21 46:4 think 5:12 17:10 31:16 36:21 38:5 38:21 40:18.19 41:8,13 43:7,15 43:18 44:16 45:2 46:3 47:19,21,24 48:4 thinking 40:22 thought 4:10 6:2 7:8 45:3 three 4:5 8:19 9:9 13:1 throws 7:23 time 9:19 15:8 22:1 22:12,20 26:11 26:21 28:22 30:17 33:22 34:14 37:22

38:19 39:13 42:3

43:2 45:22 49:12

today 9:15 13:11

times 24:6

talked 38:6,20,23

14:18 38:2 39:19 40:18 told 33:11 track 40:2 trademark 23:23 23:24 24:2 trained 22:14 training 29:16 transcribed 49:7 transcript 49:9 transfer 42:4 44:20 trebach 2:6 trial 13:1,2 14:3 15:13 24:10,23 25:16 26:22 27:15 30:14 34:16 35:4 45:15 trick 33:4 tried 35:21 true 25:6 27:20 30:20 49:9 truly 23:21 trustee 44:3 trustees 42:7 truth 31:17,18 try 28:14 31:6 37:21 40:3 trying 11:23 12:7,8 25:15 30:18,19 37:8 two 4:5 8:17 11:7 11:13 19:15 20:22 40:18 type 19:22 41:14 typically 36:11 37:20 46:3 U u 23:24 ultimately 26:17 unable 21:14 undefined 44:21 understand 7:1 15:24 16:8 26:2 26:2 46:5 understanding 35:19 37:22 understood 8:16 untimely 35:1,12 35:24

v 1:1 vacate 6:21 various 7:13 8:5 9:20 10:11 41:17 versus 3:7 violate 25:8 violation 18:16 virginia 1:20,21 2:3,10 3:4 18:16 18:20 25:8 29:19

unusual 23:14

upholding 24:8

uptodate 41:17

use 26:8 29:17,20

26:24

					5
22.0.10.24.22		1 200	Ĭ	ľ	Î
33:8,10 34:22	Y	76 3:8			
35:9 49:2,5,18	yeah 5:12 10:23	0			
visavis 16:6	15:2	8			
voluntarily 43:17	year 14:4 17:20,24	8 18:21 33:8,10			
vs 1:9	years 29:13	8th 22:6			
w	youall 17:15 36:23	9			
	37:13 46:6,11				
want 13:10,11,16	48:14	9 1:13 3:2,8			
15:5 17:2,3		90 45:24			
29:24 30:10 36:2	Z				
36:11 37:6 38:11 39:10 43:24					
44:13 45:3,5,17	0				
46:6.12 47:24	00 1:13				
48:7	0150 18:21				
wanted 7:9 16:9	01581 33:8,10				
21:2 38:4 39:19					
40:14 41:12 45:9	1				
wants 30:9 37:12	1 18:21 20:21 33:8				
37:18	33:10				
washington 2:7	10 5:5,5				
wasnt 4:17 5:18	11 4:23 23:9 24:12 27:13				
7:22	12 17:21				
way 5:18 12:5,8,14	12628 1:20				
26:23 27:1 30:7	13 3:8 9:3 39:20				
30:20 31:6,11	13th 49:18				
32:24 36:19 39:7	14 42:23				
43:18 47:8,22	15 18:23				
week 42:13,23	17 40:24				ľ
weird 6:14 went 5:12	18 40:24 41:3				
western 34:22 35:9	19 5:12 39:24				
whats 3:12 17:12					
30:23 31:1 36:23	2				
whereof 49:17	2010 22:6,12				
wilson 5:8 42:2,12	2013 1:13 3:2				
44:10	2014 49:19				
wilsons 43:2,5	2016 49:23				
wingate 1:6,7 3:7	22 19:5				
5:1,3 16:10	228402 49:24				
17:19,21 20:6,17	24 19:8			1	
22:7 25:5,12,19	27 17:23 28 19:10				
26:16 34:2,12	40 19.10			1	
35:6,15,18 40:4,6	3			1	
40:21 41:6	3 1:13 48:19			1	1
wingates 17:22	30 45:24				
42:14 withdrawal 24:13	31 49:23			1	
24:17 26:21	3805017 1:21				
27:16,24 28:19					1
witness 49:17	4			1	l)
witnesses 7:13,14	4 9:3 23:9 24:12				
25:14 34:6,8	27:13 39:20			1	
wont 28:16 39:12	45 19:18				
45:24					
word 31:4	5				
words 27:19 39:16	5 35:23			1	
44:12	51 48:19				
work 8;5 46:1,4,7	54 3:8 20:1				
46:11,14	540 1:21				
working 23:2 31:6	57 3:9			1	
worry 11:20	6			1	
wouldnt 24:2	6 17:20			1	
writing 17:3			1	I	1
				1	1
X	60 45:24				
x 2:20					
x 2:20	60 45:24				